

## REMARKS ON COMPLETE DEFORMABLE HYPERSURFACES IN $R^4$

HIROSHI MORI

*Dedicated to Professor T. Otsuki on his 75th birthday  
and to Professor S. Ishihara on his 70th birthday*

### Abstract

It is shown that, for each pair  $\{k_1(u), k_2(v)\}$  of smooth functions on  $R$  with some conditions, there exists a family of complete nonruled deformable hypersurfaces  $M(\lambda, k_1, k_2)$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ , in Euclidean space  $R^4$  with rank  $\rho = 2$  almost everywhere. This is an answer to one of the problems in [3].

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

It is an interesting problem to determine the deformability of an isometric immersion  $f$  of a connected Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  into Euclidean  $(n+1)$ -space  $R^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho$  be the rank of the second fundamental form of  $f$ . It is known (see [2]) that  $f$  is rigid (i.e., not deformable) if  $\rho \geq 3$  by the Beez-Killing Theorem, and highly deformable if  $\rho \leq 1$ . The situation for constant rank  $\rho = 2$  is quite complicated. Sbrana and Cartan divided this situation into three different types, and looked into it by a detailed local analysis (see [1], [4]).

It has been shown by Dajczer and Gromoll [3] that for  $n \geq 3$  a complete hypersurface  $M^n$  in  $R^{n+1}$  whose set of all the geodesic points does not disconnect  $M^n$ , is rigid unless it contains either an open subset  $L^3 \times R^{n-3}$  with  $L^3$  unbounded or a complete ruled strip. But the three-dimensional case of this result remains an open problem.

In this paper, we construct a one-parameter family of complete nonruled deformable hypersurfaces in  $R^4$  with rank  $\rho = 2$  almost everywhere depending on two functions on the real line  $R$  with some conditions.

**Theorem.** *Let  $k_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be smooth functions on  $R$  satisfying that  $-\frac{\pi}{4} < \int_0^x k_j(x) dx < \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $\forall x \in R$  and that  $k_1(u) > 0$ ,  $k_2(v) < 0$  at all points  $u, v$  except for isolated ones. For each constant*

$\lambda$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists an immersion  $f(\lambda, k_1, k_2)$  of  $R^3$  into  $R^4$  satisfying the following conditions:

1. The induced metric  $ds^2(\lambda, k_1, k_2)$  on  $R^3$  through  $f(\lambda, k_1, k_2)$  is complete.
2. For any two constants  $\lambda, \mu$  in  $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  the Riemannian manifolds  $(R^3, ds^2(\lambda, k_1, k_2))$  and  $(R^3, ds^2(\mu, k_1, k_2))$  are isometric.
3. For any two pairs of functions  $\{k_1(x), k_2(x)\}$  and  $\{\bar{k}_1(x), \bar{k}_2(x)\}$  and for two constants  $\lambda, \mu$  in  $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  the isometric immersions  $f(\lambda, k_1, k_2): (R^3, ds^2(\lambda, k_1, k_2)) \rightarrow R^4$  and  $f(\mu, \bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2): (R^3, ds^2(\mu, \bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2)) \rightarrow R^4$  are congruent if and only if  $\bar{k}_j(x) = k_j(\varepsilon_j x + a_j)$  for  $\forall x \in R$ , where  $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$  and  $a_j, j = 1, 2$  are constants.
4. The rank  $\rho(\lambda, k_1, k_2)$  of the second fundamental form of the immersion  $f(\lambda, k_1, k_2)$  at each point  $(u, v, t)$  of  $R^3$  is 2 (resp.  $\leq 1$ ) when  $k_1(u)k_2(v) < 0$  (resp.  $k_1(u)k_2(v) = 0$ ).

We are in the  $C^\infty$  category and refer the readers to [2] for the terminology.

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we will recall some basic definitions. Let  $f: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  be an isometric immersion of a connected  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  into the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ . The isometric immersion  $f$  is said to be *rigid* if, for any other isometric immersion  $h: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ , there exists a motion  $\tau$  of  $R^{n+1}$  such that  $h = \tau \circ f$ . The isometric immersion  $f: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  which is not rigid is said to be *deformable*.

Let  $k_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be functions as in the Theorem. We define the two functions  $\theta(u)$  and  $\phi(v)$  by

$$\theta(u) = \int_0^u k_1(x) dx, \quad \phi(v) = \int_0^v k_2(x) dx$$

for  $u, v \in R$ . For each constant  $\lambda$  in  $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  we define the functions  $\theta(u, \lambda)$ ,  $\phi(v, \lambda)$ ,  $k_1(u, \lambda)$ ,  $k_2(v, \lambda)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \theta(u, \lambda) = \arcsin \left\{ \sin \theta(u) / \sqrt{1 - \lambda} \right\}, \quad u \in R,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(v, \lambda) = \arcsin \left\{ \sin \phi(v) / \sqrt{1 + \lambda} \right\}, \quad v \in R,$$

$$(2.3) \quad k_1(u, \lambda) = \frac{d}{du} \theta(u, \lambda), \quad u \in R;$$

$$(2.4) \quad k_2(u, \lambda) = \frac{d}{dv} \phi(v, \lambda), \quad v \in R.$$

Denote by  $c_1(u, \lambda), e_1(u, \lambda), e_2(u, \lambda)$  (resp.  $c_2(v, \lambda), e_3(v, \lambda), e_4(v, \lambda)$ ) the curve in  $R^2 \times \{(0, 0)\}$  (resp.  $\{(0, 0)\} \times R^2$ )  $\subset R^4$  and its Frenet frame with curvature  $k_1(u, \lambda)$  (resp.  $k_2(v, \lambda)$ ) and initial conditions:

$$c_1(0, \lambda) = (0, \dots, 0), \quad e_1(0, \lambda) = (1, 0, 0, 0), \quad e_2(0, \lambda) = (0, 1, 0, 0),$$

$$\text{(resp. } c_2(0, \lambda) = (0, \dots, 0), \quad c_3(0, \lambda) = (0, 0, 1, 0), \quad c_4(0, \lambda) = (0, 0, 0, 1)).$$

We define a mapping  $f_\lambda: R^3 \rightarrow R^4$  by

$$(2.5) \quad f_\lambda(u, v, t) = c_1(u, \lambda) + t \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} \{ \sin \theta(u, \lambda) e_1(u, \lambda) + \cos \theta(u, \lambda) e_2(u, \lambda) \} + c_2(v, \lambda) + t \sqrt{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}} \{ \sin \phi(v, \lambda) e_3(v, \lambda) + \cos \phi(v, \lambda) e_4(v, \lambda) \},$$

for  $u, v, t \in R$ . Using (2.1)–(2.4) we can show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_\lambda(u, v, t) = e_1(u, \lambda), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_\lambda(u, v, t) = e_3(v, \lambda),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_\lambda(u, v, t) = \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} \{ \sin \theta(u, \lambda) e_1(u, \lambda) + \cos \theta(u, \lambda) e_2(u, \lambda) \} + \sqrt{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}} \{ \sin \phi(v, \lambda) e_3(v, \lambda) + \cos \phi(v, \lambda) e_4(v, \lambda) \},$$

and that

$$\xi_\lambda(u, v) = \{ \cos^2 \theta(u) + \cos^2 \phi(v) \}^{-1/2} \cdot \{ \sqrt{1+\lambda} \cos \phi(v, \lambda) e_2(u, \lambda) - \sqrt{1-\lambda} \cos \theta(u, \lambda) e_4(v, \lambda) \}$$

is a field of unit normals along  $f_\lambda$ . From this observation together with (2.1)–(2.4) it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad f_\lambda^* ds_{\text{can}}^2 = du^2 + dv^2 + \sqrt{2} \sin \theta(u) du dt + \sqrt{2} \sin \phi(v) dv dt + dt^2,$$

so that

$$(2.7) \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f_\lambda}{\partial u^2}, \xi_\lambda \right\rangle = k_1(u) \cos \theta(u) \sqrt{\frac{\cos^2 \phi(v) + \lambda}{(\cos^2 \theta(u) - \lambda)(\cos^2 \theta(u) + \cos^2 \phi(v))}},$$

(2.8)

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f_\lambda}{\partial v^2}, \xi_\lambda \right\rangle = -k_2(v) \cos \phi(v) \sqrt{\frac{\cos^2 \theta(u) - \lambda}{(\cos^2 \phi(v) + \lambda(\cos^2 \theta(u) + \cos^2 \phi(v)))}},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f_\lambda}{\partial u \partial v}, \xi_\lambda \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial f_\lambda}{\partial u \partial t}, \xi_\lambda \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f_\lambda}{\partial v \partial t}, \xi_\lambda \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f_\lambda}{\partial t^2}, \xi_\lambda \right\rangle = 0.$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem

We will maintain the notation as in the previous section. We will prove the first assertion. First, we see that, for each constant  $\lambda$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  the mapping  $f_\lambda$  given by (2.5) is an immersion by virtue of (2.6) and

$$(3.1) \quad -\pi/4 < \theta(u), \phi(v) < \pi/4, \quad \forall u, v \in R.$$

Set  $g = f_\lambda^* ds_{\text{can}}^2$ , and denote by  $g_{ij}$  the components of  $g$  with respect to the global coordinates  $x_1 := u$ ,  $x_2 := v$  and  $x_3 := t$  on  $R$ . Then the solutions of the equation in  $\rho$ :  $\det(\rho \delta_{ij} - g_{ij}) = 0$  are  $\rho = 1, 1 \pm \{[\sin^2 \theta(u) + \sin^2 \phi(v)]/2\}^{1/2}$ . Using (3.1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad ag_{\text{can}}(X, X) \leq g(X, X) \leq bg_{\text{can}}(X, X)$$

for all tangent vectors  $X$  in  $R^3$ , where  $g_{\text{can}}$  is the canonical Riemannian metric on  $R^3$ , and  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants satisfying that  $a^2 = 1 - 1/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $b^2 = 1 + 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Thus (3.2) implies that the first assertion is true.

The second assertion is valid because of (2.6).

The third assertion is proved as follows. Let  $\bar{\theta}(u, \lambda)$ ,  $\bar{\phi}(v, \lambda)$ ,  $\bar{k}_1(u, \lambda)$ ,  $\bar{k}_2(v, \lambda)$ ,  $\bar{c}_1(u, \lambda)$ ,  $\bar{e}_i(u, \lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\bar{c}_2(v, \lambda)$ ,  $\bar{e}_i(v, \lambda)$ ,  $i = 3, 4$ , and  $\bar{f}_\lambda$  be the corresponding functions, curves, Frenet frames and the mappings as in the previous section for  $\bar{k}_1(u)$ ,  $\bar{k}_2(v)$ , and  $\mu$ .

Suppose that there exist a diffeomorphism  $\psi$  of  $R^3$  onto itself and an isometry  $\rho$  of  $(R^4, ds_{\text{can}}^2)$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad \rho \circ f_\lambda(u, v, t) = \bar{f}_\mu \circ \psi(u, v, t).$$

We can show that, for each fixed  $\lambda$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ , a curve  $u = u(\sigma)$ ,  $v = v(\sigma)$ ,  $t = t(\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \in R$  defines a geodesic in  $(R^3, g)$  and  $(R^4, ds_{\text{can}}^2)$  if and only if  $u(\sigma) = \text{const}$ ,  $v(\sigma) = \text{const}$ , and  $t(\sigma) = \pm\sigma + \text{const}$ , provided that  $k_1(u(\sigma_0))k_2(v(\sigma_0)) < 0$  for some  $\sigma_0$ . Notice that, for each

fixed  $u, v \in R$ , the mapping  $t \in R \rightarrow f_\lambda(u, v, t)$  (resp.  $\bar{f}_\mu(u, v, t)$ ) defines a geodesic in  $(R^4, ds_{\text{can}}^2)$ , and that for almost all  $(u, v)$  in  $R^2$ ,  $\bar{k}_1(\psi_1(u, v, 0))\bar{k}_2(\psi_2(u, v, 0)) < 0$ , where  $\psi_j(u, v, 0)$  is the  $j$ th component of  $\psi(u, v, 0) \in R^3$ .

From these observations, we may assume, by adding constants to the parameters and rotating  $f_\lambda(R^3)$  around the origin if necessary, that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho &= \text{identity,} \\ \psi(0, 0, 0) &= (0, 0, 0), \quad \psi_u(0, 0, 0) = (1, 0, 0), \\ \psi_v(0, 0, 0) &= (0, 1, 0), \quad \psi_t(u, v, t) = (0, 0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

$\forall u, v, t \in R$ , where  $\psi_u, \psi_v$ , and  $\psi_t$  are the partial derivatives of  $\psi$  with respect to  $u, v$ , and  $t$  respectively. From this we find that

$$(3.5) \quad \psi(u, v, t) = (x(u, v), y(u, v), t) \quad \forall u, v, t \in R,$$

where  $x(u, v), y(u, v)$  are functions of  $u$  and  $v$ .

On the other hand, for each fixed  $t \in R$ , the mapping  $\iota(t): R^2 \rightarrow R^3$ ,  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v, t)$  is an isometric imbedding of  $(R^2, g_{\text{can}})$  into  $(R^3, f_\lambda^* ds_{\text{can}}^2)$ , where  $g_{\text{can}}$  is the Euclidean metric on  $R^2$ . Combining this fact with (2.5), (3.5) shows that the mapping  $(u, v) \mapsto (x(u, v), y(u, v))$  is an isometry of  $(R^2, g_{\text{can}})$ . Thus by this remark and (3.4),

$$(3.6) \quad \psi(u, v, t) = (u, v, t) \quad \forall u, v, t \in R.$$

From (3.3), (3.4), and (3.6) it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{k}_i(x) = k_i(\varepsilon_i x + a_i), & \varepsilon_i, a_i: \text{constants, with } \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, \\ \mu = \lambda \end{cases}$$

for each  $x \in R$ .

Conversely, it can be easily shown that if (3.7) is satisfied, then we have (3.3) for some diffeomorphism  $(u, v, t) \mapsto \psi(u, v, t)$ . This completes the proof of the third assertion.

The fourth assertion follows easily from (2.7)–(2.9).

#### Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Professor M. Dajczer for useful conversations.

**References**

- [1] É. Cartan, *La déformation des hypersurfaces dans l'espace euclidien réel à  $n$  dimensions*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **44** (1916) 65–99.
- [2] M. Dajczer et al., *Submanifolds and isometric immersions*, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1990.
- [3] M. Dajczer & D. Gromoll, *Rigidity of complete euclidean hypersurfaces*, J. Differential Geometry **31** (1990) 404–416.
- [4] V. Sbrana, *Sulle varietà ad  $n - 1$  dimensioni deformabili nello spazio ad  $n$  dimensioni*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **27** (1909) 1–45.

JOETSU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION  
JOETSU, JAPAN